

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
2 [dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
3 JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463  
4 [jfowler@be-law.com](mailto:jfowler@be-law.com)  
5 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
6 [mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
7 MICHAEL W. STEBBINS, Bar No. 138326  
8 [mstebbins@be-law.com](mailto:mstebbins@be-law.com)  
9 BERGESON, LLP  
10 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
11 San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
12 Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
13 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
15 VERIGY US, INC.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
18 SAN JOSE DIVISION

19 VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

20 Plaintiff,

21 vs.

22 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
23 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
24 TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;  
25 and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a  
26 California Limited Liability Corporation,  
27 inclusive,

28 Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL W.  
STEBBINS IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER  
SEAL**

Judge: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
Ctrm: 6

1 I, Michael W. Stebbins, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law before all of the courts of the State of  
3 California. I am Special Counsel with the law firm of Bergeson, LLP, counsel of record for  
4 Plaintiff Verigy US, Inc. ("Verigy" or "Plaintiff") in the above-captioned action. I submit this  
5 declaration in support of Verigy's Administrative Motion for Leave to File Document Under Seal.  
6 I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration, and, if called to do so, I could  
7 and would competently testify thereto.

8 2. I have reviewed the following materials ("the Materials"):

9 (a) Portions of the Objections to Defendants' Evidence in Support of Sur-Reply  
10 to Order to Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction, dated December 13,  
11 2007.

12 (b) Portions of the Declaration of Michael W. Stebbins in Support of Plaintiff's  
13 Objections to Defendants' Evidence in Support of Sur-Reply to Order to  
14 Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction, dated December 13, 2007.

15 4. I have determined that these Materials each disclose information that has been  
16 designated as "Highly Confidential—Attorneys' Eyes Only" or "Confidential" by the parties under  
17 the protective order, without objection to those designations (although Verigy reserves its rights to  
18 challenge such designations pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order).

19 5. The confidentiality interest of the parties therefore overcomes the right of public  
20 access to the record, as a substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality  
21 interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed. Further, the proposed sealing is narrowly  
22 tailored and no less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

23 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
24 foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 13th day of December,  
25 2007 at San Jose, California.

26  
27 /s/  
Michael W. Stebbins